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## Monte-Carlo integration

Idea: Can the area of a pool (irregular!) be measured by throwing stones?


- pool with area $F_{n}$ in a field with area $A$
- fraction of the randomly thrown stones which fall into the pool:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n_{\mathrm{p}}}{n}=\frac{F_{n}}{A} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $n$ stones, $n_{\mathrm{p}}$ hit pool)

- determine $F_{n}$ with help of the hit-or-miss method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=A \frac{n_{\mathrm{p}}}{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



- choose rectangle of height $h$, width $(b-a)$, area $A=h \cdot(b-a)$, such that $f(x)$ within the rectangle
- generate $n$ pairs of random variables $x_{i}, y_{i}$ with $a \leq x_{i} \leq b$ and $0 \leq y_{i} \leq h$
- fraction $n_{\mathrm{t}}$ of the points, which fulfill $y_{i} \leq f\left(x_{i}\right)$ gives estimate for area under $f(x)$ (integral)


## Excursus: Buffon's needle problem - determine $\pi$ by throwing matches

Buffon's question (1773): What is the probability that a needle or a match of length $\ell$ will lie accross a line between two strips on a floor made of parallel strips, each of same width $t$ ?
$\rightarrow x$ is distance from center of the needle to closest line, $\theta$ angle between needle and lines $\left(\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, hence the uniform probability density functions are

$$
p(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{2}{t} & : & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{t}{2} \\
0 & : & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array} \quad p(\theta)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{2}{\pi} & : & 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
0 & : & \text { elsewhere }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$x, \theta$ independent $\rightarrow p(x, \theta)=\frac{4}{t \pi}$ with condition $x \leq \frac{\ell}{2} \sin \theta$. If $\ell \leq t$ (short needle):

$$
P(\text { hit })=\int_{\theta=0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{x=0}^{\frac{\ell}{2} \sin \theta} \frac{4}{t \pi} d x d \theta=\frac{2 \ell}{t \pi}
$$

$\rightarrow$ count hits and misses and then:
$\pi=\frac{2 \ell}{t} \frac{n_{\text {hit }}+n_{\text {miss }}}{n_{\text {hit }}}$


## Sample-mean method

- the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given in the interval $[a, b]$ by the mean $\langle f(x)\rangle$ (mean value theorem for integration)

- choose arbitrary $x_{i}$ (instead of regular intervals) and calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=(b-a)\langle f(x)\rangle=(b-a) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ are uniform random numbers in $[a, b]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (cf. rectangle rule } \left.F_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) \Delta x \text { with fixed } x_{i}, \Delta x=\frac{b-a}{n}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Idea: improve MC integration by a better sampling $\rightarrow$ introduce a positive function $p(x)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and rewrite integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\int_{a}^{b}\left[\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}\right] p(x) d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

this integral can be evaluated by sampling according to $p(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f(x)}{p(x)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for the uniform case $p(x)=1 /(b-a) \rightarrow$ the sample mean method is recovered. Now, try to minimize variance $\sigma^{2}$ of integrand $\frac{f(x)}{p(x)}$ by choosing $p(x) \approx f(x)$, especially for large $f(x)$

## Importance sampling II

$\rightarrow$ slowly varying integrand $f(x) / p(x)$
$\rightarrow$ smaller variance $\sigma^{2}$

## Example: Normal distribution

Evaluate integral $F=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} d x$ (error function) $\rightarrow F_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-x^{2}}}{p(x)}$

|  | $p(x)=1$ | $p(x)=A e^{-x}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $x$ | $(b-a) * r+a$ | $-\log \left(e^{-a}-\frac{r}{A}\right)$ |
| $n$ | $4 \times 10^{5}$ | $8 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\sigma$ | 0.0404 | 0.0031 |
| $\sigma / \sqrt{n}$ | $6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| total CPU time ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | 19 ms | 0.8 ms |
| CPU time $/$ trial | 50 ns | 100 ns |

$\dagger$ from normalization $A=(\exp (-a)-\exp (-b))^{-1},{ }^{\dagger \dagger} \mathrm{CPU}$ time on a Intel Core $\mathrm{i} 7-47713.5 \mathrm{GHz}$
$\rightarrow$ the extra time needed per trial for getting $x$ from uniform $r$ is usually overcompensated by the smaller number of necessary trials for same $\sigma / \sqrt{n}$

Similar: Metropolis algorithm (Metropolis, Rosenbluth, Rosenbluth, Teller \& Teller 1953) useful for averages of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f\rangle=\frac{\int p(x) f(x) d x}{\int p(x) d x} \quad \text { e.g. } \quad\langle f\rangle=\frac{\int e^{-\frac{E(x)}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}} f(x) d x}{\int e^{-\frac{E(x)}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}} d x} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Metropolis algorithm uses random walk (see below) of points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ (1D or higher) with asymptotic probability distribution approaching $p(x)$ for $n \gg 1$. Random walk from transition probability $T\left(x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(x_{i}\right) T\left(x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}\right) & =p\left(x_{j}\right) T\left(x_{j} \rightarrow x_{i}\right) \quad \text { (detailed balance) }  \tag{10}\\
\text { e.g., choose } T\left(x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}\right) & \left.=\min \left[1, \frac{p\left(x_{j}\right)}{p\left(x_{i}\right)}\right] \quad \text { (where, e.g., } p_{j} / p_{i}=\exp \left(-\frac{E_{j}-E_{i}}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

## Metropolis algorithm II

## Metropolis algorithm

(1) choose trial position $x_{\text {trial }}=x_{i}+\delta_{i}$ with random $\delta_{i} \in[-\delta,+\delta]$
(2) calculate $w=p\left(x_{\text {trial }}\right) / p\left(x_{i}\right) \quad$ (might be: $w=\exp \left(-\frac{E\left(x_{\text {trial }}\right)-E\left(x_{i}\right)}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right)$ )
(3) if $w \geq 1$, accept and $x_{i+1}=x_{\text {trial }}(\rightarrow \Delta E \leq 0)$
(4) if $w<1(\rightarrow \Delta E>0)$, generate random $r \in[0 ; 1]$
(5) if $r \leq w$, accept and $x_{i+1}=x_{\text {trial }}$ (and compute desired quantities, e.g. $f\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ )
(6) if not, $x_{i+1}=x_{i}$
(finally: $\langle f\rangle=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)$ )
problem: optimum choice of $\delta$;
if too large, only small number of accepted trials $\rightarrow$ inefficient sampling
if too small, only slow sampling of $p(x)$.
Hence, rule of thumb: choose $\delta$ for which $\frac{1}{3} \ldots \frac{1}{2}$ trials accepted also: choose $x_{0}$ for which $p\left(x_{0}\right)$ is largest $\rightarrow$ faster approach of $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ to $p(x)$

Typical applications for Metropolis algorithm: computation of integrals with weight functions $p(x) \sim e^{-x}$, e.g.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x\rangle & =\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} x e^{-x} d x}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} d x}  \tag{12}\\
\langle A\rangle & =\frac{\int A(\vec{X}) e^{-U(\vec{X}) / k_{B} T} d \vec{X}}{\int e^{-U(\vec{X}) / k_{B} T} d \vec{X}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the latter is the average of a physical quantity $A$ in a liquid system with good contact to a thermal bath, fixed number of particles (with $\vec{X}=\left(\vec{x}_{1}, \vec{x}_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of all particles) and volume $\rightarrow$ canonical ensemble, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{m v_{i k}^{2}}{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2} k_{\mathrm{B}} T \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

# Rejection sampling (acceptance-rejection method) 

## Rejection sampling (acceptance-rejection method) I

Problem: get random $x$ for any $p(x)$, also if $P(r)^{-1}$ not (easily) computable Idea:

- area under $p(x)$ in $[x, x+d x]$ is probability of getting $x$ in that range
- if we can choose a random point in two dimensions with uniform probability in the area under $p(x)$, then $x$ component of that point is distributed according to $p(x)$
- so, on same graph draw an $f(x)$ with $f(x)>p(x) \forall x$
- if we can uniformly distribute points in the area under curve $f(x)$, then all points $(x, y)$ with $y<p(x)$ are uniform under $p(x)$



Creation of arbitrary probability distributions with help of rejection sampling (especially for compact intervals $[a, b]$ ):

- let $p(x)$ be the required distribution in $[a, b]$
- choose a $f(x)$ such that $p(x)<f(x)$ in $[a, b]$, e.g., $f(x)=c \cdot \max (p(x))=$ const. where $c>1$
- it is $A:=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$, i.e. $A(x)$ must exist and must be invertible: $A(x) \rightarrow x(A)$
- generate uniform random number in $[0, A]$ and get the corresponding $x(A)$
- generate 2nd uniform random number $y$ in $[0, f(x)]$, so $x, y$ are uniformly distributed on $A$ (area under $f(x)$ )
- accept this point if $y<p(x)$, otherwise reject it


## Rejection sampling (acceptance-rejection method) III

## Example: normal distribution $p(x)$ sampled by $f(x)=\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{-1}$


$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}$ (blue solid line) sampled with help of the function $\frac{1}{x^{2}+1}$ (red dashed) whose integral is $\arctan (x)$ (thick dashed red) and hence $F(x)^{-1}=\tan (x)$, see source code on page 18

Requirements:

- $p(x)$ must be computable for every $x$ in the intervall
- $f(x)>p(x) \rightarrow$ always possible, as $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x) d x=1$ (i.e. $A>1$ )
- to get $x_{0}$ for a chosen value in $[0, A]$ requires usually: $\int f(x) d x=F$ is analytically invertible, i.e. $F(x)^{-1}$ exists
$\rightarrow$ this is easy for a compact interval $[a, b]$, e.g., choose a $c>1$ such $F(x)=c \cdot \max (p(x)) \cdot(x-a)=k(x-a)$
$\rightarrow x=F / k-a$ for randomly chosen $F$ in $[0, A]$, where $A=k \cdot(b-a)$

```
Example: acceptance-rejection for normal distribution (see p. 16)
double p(double x){ return exp(-0.5*x*x)/sqrt(2.*M_PI); }
double f(double x){ return 1./(x*x+1.); }
double inv_int_f(double ax){ return tan(ax - M_PI /2.); }
for (int i = 0; i < nmax; ++i){
    // get random value between O and A:
    ax = A * double(rand())/double(RAND_MAX);
    // obtain the corresponding x value:
    x = inv_int_f(ax);
    // get random y value in interval [0,f(x)]:
    y = f(x) * double(rand())/double(RAND_MAX);
    // test for y =< p(x) for acceptance:
    if ( y <= p(x) ) { cout << x << endl ;}
}
```

In our example:

- it is $p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}$ the standard normal distribution; normal distributions with $\sigma \neq 1, \mu \neq 0$ can be obtained by transformation
- the comparison function $f(x)=\frac{1}{x^{2}+1}$ is always $f(x)>p(x)$, moreover:
- $F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=\arctan (x)-\arctan (-\infty)=\arctan (x)-\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
$\rightarrow F(x)=\arctan (x)+\frac{\pi}{2}$
- the total area $A$ under $f(x)$ is $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=\arctan (+\infty)-\arctan (-\infty)=\pi$
- the inverse $F(x)^{-1}$, which returns $x$ for a given value $F \in[0, A]$ simply $x=\tan \left(F-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
- efficiency of the acceptance is $N_{\text {accepted }} /$ NMAX $=\int p(x) / \int f(x)=1 / \pi \approx 0.32$, i.e. efficiency can be increased by choosing $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x^{2}+1}$, then $x=\tan \left(2 F-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow 63 \%$ acceptance

Alternative choice I: $f(x)=\exp (-x)$ only for $x \geq 0$, then

- the integral $F(x)$ is $\int_{0}{ }^{x}=-\exp (-x)+1$
- the total area $\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp (-x) d x=1>0.5=\int_{0}^{\infty} p(x)$
- the inverse is $x=-\log (-x+1)$
- to obtain also negative $x \rightarrow$ add random sign $\pm$



## Rejection sampling (acceptance-rejection method) VIII

Alternative choice II: $f(x)=1.1 \cdot \max (p(x))$ in the compact interval $[0,3]$, then


- it is $\max (p(x))=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ in $[0,3]$ $\rightarrow f(x)=\frac{1.1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ in $[0,3]$
- hence $F(x)^{-1}$ is $x=\frac{F \sqrt{2 \pi}}{1.1}-0$.
- the total area $A$ is $\frac{1.1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \cdot(3-0)$
$\rightarrow$ clear: this choice (const. function) works only for compact intervals, otherwise $A$ is infinite and $F(x)^{-1}$ does not exist


## Random walk

## Random walk I

Idea: Brownian motion, e.g., dust in water (lab course: determination of diffusion coefficient $D=\frac{\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle}{2 t}$, with Fick's laws of diffusion: $j=-D \partial_{x} c$ and $\dot{c}=D \partial_{x}^{2} c$ )
frequent collisions between dust particles and water molecules
$\rightarrow$ frequent change of direction
$\rightarrow$ trajectory not predictable even for few collisions
$\rightarrow$ motion of dust particle into any direction with same probability

$\rightarrow$ Random walk
like "drunken sailor": $N$ steps of equal length in arbitrary direction will lead to which distance from start point?

## Random walk II

## In one dimension:

- let's start at $x=0$, each step with length $\ell$
- for each step: probability $p$ for step to the right and $q=1-p$ to the left (independent from previous step)
- displacement after $N$ steps

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(N)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i} \quad \text { where } s_{i}= \pm \ell \quad \rightarrow x^{2}(N)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- for $p=q=1 / 2 \rightarrow$ coin flipping
- for large $N:\langle x(N)\rangle=0$ expected
- but for $\left\langle x^{2}(N)\right\rangle$ ? $\rightarrow$ rewrite Eq. (15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}(N)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N} s_{i} s_{j} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (for $i \neq j) s_{i} s_{j}= \pm \ell^{2}$ with same probability, so: $\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} s_{i} s_{j}=0$

- because of $s_{i}^{2}=\ell^{2} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}^{2}=N \ell^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{2}(N)\right\rangle=\ell^{2} N \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- especially for constant time intervals of the random walk

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\frac{\ell^{2}}{\Delta t} N \Delta t \quad\left(=\frac{\ell^{2}}{\Delta t} t\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- generally: if $p \neq 1 / 2$ and $p$ for $+\ell$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x(N)\rangle=(p-q) \ell N \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rightarrow$ linear dependance on $N$

## Example: Diffusion of photons in the Sun

Simplification: constant density $n$, only elastic Thomson scattering (free $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) with (frequency independent) cross section $\sigma_{\text {Th }}=6.652 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ mean free path length:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\frac{1}{n \sigma_{\mathrm{Th}}}=\left(\frac{\varrho}{m_{\mathrm{H}}} \sigma_{\mathrm{Th}}\right)^{-1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

one dimension $\rightarrow$ only $R=R_{\odot}$, total time $t=N \Delta t$

$$
\Rightarrow t=9 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}=2900 \mathrm{a} \ll t_{\mathrm{KH}}\left(=3 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{a}\right)
$$

## Random walk V

Importance of the random walk model
many processes can be described by differential equation similar to diffusion equation (e.g., heat equation, Schrödinger equation with imaginary time)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t}=D \frac{\partial^{2} p(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with diffusion coefficient $D$ and probability $p(x, t) d x$ to find particle at time $t$ in $[x, d x]$ in 3 dimensions: $\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2} \equiv \nabla^{2}$
Moments: mean value of a function $f(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle f(x, t)\rangle & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, t) p(x, t) d x  \tag{22}\\
\Rightarrow \quad\langle x(t)\rangle & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x p(x, t) d x \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

## Random walk VI

Compute integral in Eq. (23) $\rightarrow$ multiply Eq. (21) by $x$ and integrate over $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t} d x=D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{\partial^{2} p(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} d x \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

left hand side

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t} d x=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x p(x, t) d x=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle x\rangle \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

right hand side via integration by parts $\left(\int g f d x=g F \mid-\int g^{\prime} F d x\right)$, note that $p(x= \pm \infty, t)=0$, as well as all spatial derivatives $\left(\partial_{x} p(x= \pm \infty, t)=0\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \frac{\partial^{2} p(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} d x & =\left.D x \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=-\infty} ^{x=+\infty}-D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 1 \cdot \frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial x} d x  \tag{26}\\
& =0  \tag{27}\\
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\langle x\rangle & =0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

I.e. $\langle x\rangle \equiv$ const. for all $t$. For $x(t=0)=0 \rightarrow\langle x\rangle=0$ for all $t$.

Analogously for $\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle$ : integration by parts twice

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle & =0+0+2 D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p(x, t) d x=2 D  \tag{29}\\
\rightarrow\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle & =2 D t \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

compare with Eq. (18) $\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle=\frac{\ell^{2}}{\Delta t} N \Delta t=\frac{\ell^{2}}{\Delta t} t$
$\rightarrow$ random walk and diffusion equation have same time dependence (linear)
(with $2 D=\frac{\ell^{2}}{\Delta t}$ )

## Random numbers

## Pseudorandom numbers I

for scientific purposes

- fast method to generate huge number of "random numbers"
- sequence should be reproducable
$\rightarrow$ use deterministic algorithm to generate pseudorandom numbers


## Linear congruential method

start with a seed $x_{0}$, use one-dimensional map

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=\left(a x_{n-1}+c\right) \quad \bmod m \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

- with integers: $a$ (multiplier), $c$ (increment), $m$ (modulus)
- m largest possible integer from Eq. (31) $\rightarrow$ maximum possible period is $m \rightarrow$ obtain $r \in[0,1)$ by $x_{n} / m$
- real period depends on $a, c, m$, e.g.,
$a=3, c=4, m=32, x_{0}=1 \rightarrow 1,7,25,15,17,23,9,31,1,7,25, \ldots \rightarrow$ period is 8 not 32


## Other sources of random numbers I

Better randomness can be obtained from physical processes:

- nuclear decay (real randomness!), e.g, $\rightarrow$ measure $\Delta t$ (difficult to implement)
- image noise, thermal noise (Johnson-Nyquist noise), e.g., $\rightarrow$ darkened USB camera (simple), special expansion cards with a diode
- "activity noise" in Unix:
/dev/random
/dev/urandom
$\rightarrow$ random bit patterns from input/output streams (entropy pool) of the computer /dev/random blocks, if entropy pool is exhausted (since Linux 2.6: 4096 bit, cf. /proc/sys/kernel/random/poolsize)
urandom uses pseudorandom numbers seeded with "real" random numbers For readout of Unix random devices need to interpret random bits(!) as numbers


## Other sources of random numbers II

```
Reading from urandom
E.g., by using fstream and union
ifstream fin("/dev/urandom/") ;
union {unsigned int num ;
    char buf[sizeof(unsigned int)]; } u ;
fin.read(u.buf, sizeof(u.buf)) ;
cout << u.num ;
fstream reads only char, buf and num are at the same address }->\mathrm{ read bits in as char
output as unsigned int
```

quality check for uniformly distributed random numbers

- equal distribution: random numbers should be fair
- entropy: bits of information per byte of a sequence of random numbers (same as equal distribution)
- serial tests: for $n$-tuple repetitions (often only for $n=2, n=3$ )
- run test: for monotonically increasing/decreasing sequences, also for length of stay for a distinct interval
- and more ...


## Be careful!

There is no necessary or sufficient test for the randomness of a finite sequence of numbers.
$\rightarrow$ can only check if it is "apparently" random

## Correlation tests I

$\rightarrow$ testing for "clumping" of numbers

## Test for doublets

- define a square lattice $L \times L$ and fill each cell at random:
- array $n(x, y)$ with discrete coordinates
- choose random $1 \leq x_{i}, y_{i} \leq L$ where $x_{i}, y_{i}$ consecutive numbers of random number sequence
- fill cell $n\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ (e.g. set boolean to true)
- repeat procedure $t \cdot L^{2}$ times, $t$ is MC time step
- $\rightarrow$ similar to nuclear decay, therefore expected:
fraction of empty cells $\propto \exp (-t)$


## Correlation tests II

## Simple correlation test

- just plot $x_{i+1}$ over $x_{i} \rightarrow$ look for suspicious patterns

correlation plot for linear congruential method with bad parameters

same plot but for $C++$ rand() function


## Confidence level I

Testing for randomness (also: numbers or detections)
$\rightarrow \underline{\chi^{2} \text { test }}$

- let $y_{i}$ the number of events in bin $i$ and $E_{i}$ the expectation value
- e.g., $N=10^{4}$ random numbers, $M=100$ bins $\rightarrow E_{i}=100$ (numbers/bin)
- the $\chi^{2}$ value (with $y_{i}$ measured number of random numbers in bin $i$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\left(y_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

measures the conformity of the measured and the expected distribution

- the individual terms in Eq. (32) should be $\leq 1$, so for $M$ terms $\chi^{2} \leq M \rightarrow$ reduced $\chi^{2}$ by deviding by $M \rightarrow$ "minimum" red. $\chi^{2}=1$
- e.g., 5 independent runs (each $n=10000$ ) yield $\chi^{2} \approx 92,124,85,91,99 \rightarrow$ as expected for equal distribution,
in general: $\chi^{2}$ should be small (but $\chi^{2}=0$ is suspicious, e.g., here: $N$-periodicity in random numbers?)


## Confidence level II

## Confidence

- need a quantitative measure that shows normal distribution of the "error" ( $y_{i}-E_{i}$ ) (in particular, we test the hypothesis of uniform distribution) $\rightarrow$ chi-squared distribution

$$
\begin{align*}
p(x, \nu) & =\frac{1}{2^{\nu / 2} \Gamma(\nu / 2)} x^{(\nu-2) / 2} e^{-x / 2}  \tag{33}\\
\text { where } \Gamma(z) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} d t \text { and } \Gamma(z+1)=z! \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$\rightarrow$ cumulated $\chi^{2}$ distribution $P(x, \nu)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, \nu)=\frac{1}{2^{\nu / 2} \Gamma(\nu / 2)} \int_{0}^{x} t^{(\nu-2) / 2} e^{-t / 2} d t \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\nu$ degrees of freedom, here: $\nu=M-1=99$, because of constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{M} E_{i}=N$

## Confidence level III

- chi-square distribution


for $\nu>30$ is $\sqrt{2 x}-\sqrt{2 \nu-1}$ approximately normally distributed, for $\nu>100$ is $x$ approximately normally distributed with $E=\nu$ and and $\sigma=\sqrt{2 \nu}$
chi-square PDF for different degrees of freedom

```
\nu
```

- function $Q(x, \nu)=1-P(x, \nu)$
$\rightarrow$ probability that $\chi^{2}>x$

- we want to check: How likely to get a $\chi^{2}$ of, e.g., 124 (our largest measured $\chi^{2}$ )? $\rightarrow$ solve $Q(x, \nu)=q$ (probability $\chi^{2}>x$ for given $x, \nu$ ) for $x$, or look it up in tables for $\nu=M-1=99$ (e.g., https://www.medcalc.org/manual/chi-square-table.php)

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
x & 138.9 & 134.6 & 123.2 & 110.6 & 98 \\
\hline q & 0.005 & 0.01 & 0.05 & 0.2 & 0.5
\end{array}
$$

- for our case: 1 out of 5 runs ( $20 \%$ ) had $y_{2}=124$, but $Q(x, \nu)$ implies for $x=123$ only $5 \%$, i.e., 1 out of 20 runs with $\chi^{2} \geq 123$
- therefore: confidence level $<95 \%$, rather $80 \%$ (because of $q=0.2$ for $x=111$ )
- try to increase confidence level: more runs $\rightarrow$ if still only 1 out 20 with $\chi^{2}>123$ $\rightarrow$ confidence level at $95 \%$

