### Nebulae around runaway stars

V.V. Gvaramadze Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Lomonosov Moscow State University in collaboration with D.B. Alexashov, A.Y. Kniazev, N. Langer, O.V. Marye a M. Castro, O.A. Katushkina,





### Runaway stars

- about 20 % of O stars are in the field (Gies 1987)
- space velocities range from ≈10 to several 100 km/s
- about 20-30 % of the field O stars have velocities
  >30 km/s (runaway stars) (Blaauw 1961; Gies 1987)
  about 70 % of runaway O stars are binary systems (Chini et al. 2012)
- about 30-40 % of all O stars interact with a companion while they are still on the main sequence (Sana et al. 2012)

### Bow shocks around runaway stars



# Infrared shells around LBV and WR stars



#### Gvaramadze et al. 2010

# Circumstellar nebulae around runaway Wolf-Rayet stars



### WR 16 (WN8h)



WR 138a (WN8h)

### MN44: an LBV running away from Westerlund 1



 $v_{tr}$ =74±3 km/s, *l*≈310 pc =>  $t_{kin}$ =4.2 Myr (age of Westerlund 1 ≈5 Myr)

Gvaramadze 2018

### CPD-64°2731: a massive spun-up and rejuvenated high-velocity runaway star



#### Gvaramadze et al. 2019



O5.5 Vn((f)), M≈40 M<sub>sun</sub>, v sin i≈300 km/s, v<sub>r</sub>≈-136 km/s
nitrogen abundance is enhanced by a factor of 6-8 => v<sub>init</sub>≈400 km/s, age ≤3 Myr

### Rotational velocities of O stars



Galactic O stars LMC O stars (Simon-Diaz & Herrero 2014) (Ramirez-Agudelo et al. 2013)



 $d=7.5 \text{ kpc}, z=490 \text{ pc}, v_{\text{tr}}=89 \text{ km/s}$ =>  $t_{\text{kin}}=z/v_{\text{tr}}=5.7 \text{ Myr} (\text{age} \le 3 \text{ Myr})$ 

 $\log(M/M_{sun} yr^{-1}) = -5.96,$  $v_{\infty}$ =2900 km/s,  $v_{\rm r}$ =-136 km/s,  $v_{\rm tr} = 89 \, {\rm km/s},$  $v_* = 163 \text{ km/s},$  $\theta = 33^{\circ}$  $R_{0} = 0.9 \text{ pc}$  $=> n_{ISM} = 0.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ 





- $t < t_*$ : runaway binary composed of two 20 M<sub>sun</sub> stars (fast wind);  $n_{ISM} = 0.04$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (Dickey & Lockman 1990)
- $t=t_*$ : merger => slow wind
- $t = t_*$ +several 1000 yr: fast wind with parameters of CPD-64°2731

The extremely high rotational velocity and the kinematic age of CPD-64°2731 (exceeding its age derived from single star models by a factor of 2), imply that this star is a rejuvenated and spun-up binary product. The geometry of the nebula and the almost central location of the star within it argue against a pure bow shock interpretation for the nebula. Instead, we suggest that the binary interaction happened recently, thereby creating the nebula, with a cavity blown by the current fast stellar wind.

# $\lambda$ Cep: a merger product?

SpT=06.5 I(n)fp  $M \approx 45-60 \text{ M}_{sun}$   $v_{rot}=200 \text{ km/s}$  $v_{*}=60 \text{ km/s}$ 



#### Gvaramadze et al. 2019



### $\kappa$ Cas (B1 Ia) β CMa (B1 II-III) θ Car (Bo Vp)

• gyrorotation of charged dust grains in the interstellar magnetic field produces nonmonotonic spatial distribution of the dust

• the number of filaments depends on the size of dust grains and on the line of sight



Katushkina et al. 2017







#### Katushkina et al. 2018







power-law MRN size distribution (Mathis, Rumpl & Nordsieck 1977)







 $n_{\rm ISM} \approx 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}, B_{\rm ISM} \approx 20-30 \,\mu\text{G}$ 

It is found that the model results with the classical MRN (Mathis, Rumpl & Nordsieck 1997) size distribution of dust in the ISM do not match the observations, and that the observed filamentary structure of the bow shock can be reproduced only if the dust is composed mainly of big ( $\mu$ m-sized) grains.

### Circumstellar structures around Vela X-1



Kaper et al. 1997



Gvaramadze et al. 2018

 $v_{\rm tr} \approx 46 \text{ km/s}, v_{\rm r} \approx -30 \text{ km/s}, v_{*} \approx 54 \text{ km/s}, \theta = 60^{\circ}$ 

Circumstellar structures around Vela X-1

3D MHD simulations of interaction between Vela X-1 and a wedge-like layer for three limiting cases:

- the stellar wind and the ISM were treated as pure hydrodynamic flows (model 1)
- a homogeneous *B*-field was added to the ISM, while the stellar wind was assumed to be unmagnetized (model 2)

• the stellar wind was assumed to possess a helical *B*-field (described by the Parker solution), while there was no magnetic field in the ISM (model 3)

Gvaramadze et al. 2018

### Vela X-1

donor star: Bo.5 Ia  $R_* = 30 \text{ R}_{\text{sun}}$ separation = 53.4  $R_{sun}$  $v_{\infty} = 700 \text{ km/s}$  $v_{\rm orb}$ =200 km/s => helical *B*-field perpendicular to the orbital plane





### Projection of synthetic H $\alpha$ intensity maps

 $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ 10  $t_1 = 93800 \text{ yr}$ *X* 0 -10 F) D) E)  $t_{2} = 187\,600\,\mathrm{yr}$ Χ 0 -5 -10 H) G)  $\mathbf{I}$ 10 χ ο  $t_3 = 281400 \text{ yr}$  $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ -10  $-5 _0 Z_0$ 5 -10 -5 0 Z<sub>0</sub> 5 -10 -5 0 Z<sub>0</sub> -10 model 1 model 2 model 3

### Circumstellar structures around Vela X-1

The geometry of the structures around Vela X-1 suggests that this HMXB has encountered a wedge-like layer of enhanced density on its way and that the shocked material of the layer partially outlines a wake downstream of Vela X-1. We found that only a model with the (spiral) stellar magnetic field can reproduce not only the wake behind Vela X-1, but also the general geometry of the bow shock ahead of it. Thank you!