The X-Ray Universe



Potsdam University

Dr. Lidia Oskinova Wintersemester 2008/09

lida@astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de www.astro.physik.uni-potsdam.de/~lida/theormech.html

Chandra X-ray Observatory Westerlund 2 - a young star cluster ${\rm d}{=}\,2\times10^4{\rm ly}$

IV. 35 Summary of Radiative Processes

- Blackbody: Neutron stars, WD
- CIE plasma: stellar coronae
- NEI: supernova remnants
- Bremsstrahlung: galaxy clusters
- Photoionized plasma: X-ray binaries
- Synchrotron: AGN jets
- Componisation: AGN, BH, galaxy clusters
- Charge Exchange: planetary systems

V. X-rays in Solar System



http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov

High Resolution Imager (HRI) on the ROSAT Impact of comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 in July, 1994 on Jupiter

V. 1. Aurorae: Earth, Saturn, Jupiter



http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2005/earth/

Bremsstarhlung of solar wind particles in upper atmopshere. Planetary magnetic field is required.

V. 2. A soft X-ray image of the Moon



Schmitt et al. 1991, Nature, 349, 583

Three components: 1.The Moon's bright side Scattered solar X-rays: Thompson scattering?

2. The Moon's dark side

A shadow in the diffuse background Emission:

Bremsstrahlung of solar electrons?

3.The diffuse background the cosmic X-ray background

 $L_x = 7x10^{11} \text{ erg/s}$

V. 3. Chandra image of the Moon



http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2003/moon/

Reminder: Current theory of Moon origin: impact of a Mars-sized body 4.5 billion years ago Chandra (0.2-12.0 keV)

high sensitivity, angular and spectral resolution

Spectra were obtained

V. 4. Bright side of the Moon



Schmitt et al. 1991, Nature, 349, 583

Fluorescence:

Photoexitation → line emission Photon of longer wavelength is emitted X-ray fluorescence: see applet Moon: bombardment of surface by solar X-rays

From Chandra measurments: (Wargelin et al. 2004)
1.The Moon's bright side
Fluorescence
2.The Moon's dark side
Charge exchange in geocorona
3.The diffuse background

the cosmic X-ray background



V. 5. Dark side of the Moon





http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo

The space craft just in geocorona:

observes solar wind chrage exchange with neutral between Earth and Moon $A^{q+} + N \rightarrow A^{(q-1)+,*} + N^- \rightarrow A^{(q-1)+} + N^- + h\gamma_X$

CT emissivity: $\epsilon_{il} = v_c n_n n_i y_{il} \sigma_i$ ph/s/cm³

where v_c - is the collision velocity (solar wind velocity), n_n neutral species density, n_i is the relevant ion density, y_{il} the net line emission yield per CT-excited ion, and σ_i is the total CT cross section for ion i.

V. 6. More charge exchange: Comets



http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2000/c1999s4/

Comet C/1999 S4 (LINEAR) Discoverd in 1999: Lincoln Near Earth Asteroid Research Closest approach in 2002

observed with Chandra

X-rays

from oxygen and nitrogen ions.

Charge exchange with soalr wind particles

Chemistry of the solar wind, and the structure of the comet's

V. 7. More charge exchange: Comets



http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2005/dimpact/

Comet Tempel 1

Prior and after Deep Impact (see annimation) observed with Chandra

X-rays

from oxygen ions.

Charge exchange with soalr wind particles

V. 8. Titan's shadow



http://chandra.harvard.edu/photo/2004/titan/

Titan - Saturn's largest moon: the only moon in Solar System with thick atmosphere The duration of eclipse -- the extent of the atmosphere 880 km (see movie)

V. 9. Planets: Venus



$$L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm opt} = 10^{-10}$$



Adaptively smoothed

Dennerl et al. 2002, AA 386, 319

The Chandra data are fully consistent with fluorescent scattering (CNO) of solar X-rays in the Venus atmosphere up to height 110 km. Different from the X-ray emission of comets, where the dominant process for the X-ray emission is charge exchange between highly charged heavy ions in the solar wind and cometary neutrals.

V. 10. Charge exchange vs. Fluorescence

Why CT is more important for comets? (Dennerl etal. 2004) Because comets are more extended and less dence

The cross sections for charge exchange typically > 10^{-15} cm⁻² and are thus at least three orders of magnitude greater than for fluorescent emission, which are < 10^{-18} cm⁻²

The gas in a comETARY coma is distributed over a much larger volume than in a planetary atmosphere. The particle density in a coma is too low and remains optically thin for X-rays, but it is high enough to provide a sufficient number of target electrons for charge exchange.

The atmosphere of Venus is so dense that it is optically thick. As the solar wind ions become discharged already in the outermost parts, only a tiny fraction of the atmospheric electrons can participate in the charge exchange process. The flux of incident solar wind ions is reduced by the presence of an ionosphere.

The X-ray area is < 1 arcminute in diameter in the case of Venus, the bright part of the cometary X-ray emission can extend > 10 arcminutes, thus increasing the total amount of charge exchange induced X-ray photons by two orders of magnitude or more.

V. 9. Planets: Mars





NASA/CXC/MPE/K.Dennerl et al.

X-rays are produced by fluorescent radiation from oxygen atoms

At height 120km in Martian atmosphere

X-ray luminosity of 10 000 medical X-ray masines

Faint X-ray halo that extends out to 7 000 km above the surface charge exchnage.

V. 10. Planets: Jupiter





NASA/CXC/SWRI/G.R.Gladstone et al.

At least two components: Aurorae (harder X-rays) and disk emission (softer) bremstrahlung + charge exchange. Are ions from Io or the solar wind? Pulsating Hot spot in polar region P=45 min, nature is debated Disk: scattering of X-rays: varies along with solar cicle

V. 10. Planets: Jupiter



Image courtesy of Graziella Branduardi-Raymont

Combined EPIC-MOS and -pn spectra of the North (black) and South (red) aurorae, and of the low-latitude disk emission (green).

Aurorae: 1) CE by ions precipitating in Jupiter's magnetosphere (peaks around 0.5 - 0.6 keV), 2) high energy 'tail': bremsstrahlung electrons also precipitating in magnetosphere close to the poles.

Disk: scattering of solar X-rays in the upper atmosphere of the planet

V. 11. Planets: Saturn

 $L_x = 9x10^{14} \text{ erg/s}$



NASA/U. Hamburg/J.Ness et al; Optical: NASA/STScl

X-rays are concentrated near the equator (diffrent from Jupiter!) Saturn's X-rays are **not** because of fluorescence: compare X-ray spectra with Mars

BEFORE THE FLARE DURING THE FLARE CHANDRA X-RAY CHANDRA X-RAY GOES-12 X-RAY GOES-12 X-RAY 2004/01/20 07:30:23 UTC P_THN_B 3.0005 500V 2004/01/20 07:46:22 UTC P_THN_B 3.0005 500V

Chandra X-ray: NASA/MSFC/CXC/A.Bhardwaj et al.; GOES-12 X-ray: NOAA/SEC

V. 12. Rings of Saturn sparkle in X-rays



NASA/MSFC/CXC/A.Bhardwaj et al.; Optical: NASA/ESA/STScI/AURA

Fluorescence caused by solar X-rays striking O atoms in H_2O icy rings. X-rays from B (inner) ring: 25,000 km wide and 40,000 km from the \rightarrow surface Concentration of X-rays on the morning side ? (left side) associated with spokes Spokes: transient features clouds of fine ice that are lifted off the ring surface Spokes are triggered by meteoroid impacts on the rings

V. 14. X-rays is solar system



charge exchange

X-ray photons from the Sun: planets reflect and scatter Particles from the Sun: Aurorae and charge exchange

VI. X-rays from Normal Stars



NASA/EIT/W.Waldron, J.Cassinelli

Or not totaly abnormal

VI. 6. Brief reminder spectral classification



Wolf-Rayet (WR stars) hottest non-degenerate

http://cass.ucsd.edu/public/tutorial/Stars.html

Table 1: Stellar Spectral Classification

Sp	$T_{ m eff}$	Hβ	Other Fetures	M/M_{\odot}	R/R_{\odot}	R/R_{\odot}	T(MS)
0	>33000	weak	He ⁺ , mb. emission	>20	>10	90,000-800,000	10-1 Myr
В	10,500-30,000K	med.	HeI absorption	3-18	3.0-8	95-52,000	400-11 Myr
А	7,500-10,000K	strong	H lines	2-3	2-3 8-55	3Gyr - 440 Myr	
F	6,000-7,200 K	med.		1-2	1-1.5	2.0-7.0	7-3 Gy
G	5,500-6,000 K	weak, Ca+ H	K, Na "D"	0.9-1	0.8-1	0.6-1.5	15-8 Gy
Κ	4,000-5,250 K	v. weak	a+, Fe, CH,CN	0.6-0.8	0.6-0.80	0.10-0.4	17 Gy
Μ	2,600-3,850 K	TiO, mol.		0.08-0.5	0.2-0.6	0.001-0.08	56 Gy
L			Brown dwarfs	< 0.08			

VI. 6. Brief reminder stellar structure

Inner structure of stars is determined by:

Hydrostatic Equilibrium: $\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{GM(r)\rho}{r^2}$ Mass conservation: $\frac{dM(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho$ Energy conservation: $\frac{dL(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho \epsilon$

Energy transport:

By radiation:
$$\frac{dL(r)}{dr} \propto \frac{\kappa\rho}{T^3} \cdot \frac{L(r)}{4\pi r^2}$$

By convection: $\frac{dL(r)}{dr} \propto \frac{T}{P} \frac{dP}{dr}$

Schwarzschild Criterion for convection: $\frac{dT}{dz} < \frac{g}{C_{p}}$,

 $C_{\rm p}$ - heat capacity